



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,478	06/29/2001	Dominique Gougeon	10015727-1	5294
7590 05/27/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J	
P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration 00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2134	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/893,478	GOUGEON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher J. Brown	2134
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisior after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimur statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (ly will, by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>3/01/2005</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for forma	I matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/		on.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requireme	nt.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
		rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority 	y documents have been receive	d.
2. Certified copies of the priority	y documents have been receive	d in Application No
Copies of the certified copies	s of the priority documents have	been received in this National Stage
• •	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	·
* See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the certified copie	es not received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Inte	rview Summary (PTO-413)
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ((PTO-948) Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050523

Application/Control Number: 09/893,478

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 and 6 recites the limitation "dynamic prompt table file" in lines 8 and 12 respectively. The amendments made create insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in these claims. Claims 2-5 and 7-10 are rejected due to their dependence on the rejected independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,478

Art Unit: 2134

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yun Lip US 2002/0147913 in view of Renaud US 6,021,491

As per claims 1, and 6 Yun Lip teaches a pinpad terminal comprising a pin pad, memory and display, (wireless phone) [0043]. Yun Lip teaches a numeric keypad used for inputting non-PIN data when an appropriate prompt has been displayed, ([0048], Fig 4, 7, 8, 9). Yun Lip fails to teach authentication.

Renaud teaches authentication of a file using an RSA algorithm and signature file, (Col 3 line 59 to Col 4 line 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the method of authenticating software of Renaud with the mobile phone or wireless device of Yun Lip to enhance security (Renaud Col 3 lines 50-56) and to prevent initiating any procedures with rogue files that would compromise the user.

As per claims 2, 4,5, 7, 9 and 10 Renaud teaches use of the RSA algorithm, using private and public keys, to create and verify digital signatures for files, (Col 3 lines 32-Col 4 line 10).

Claims 3, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yun Lip US 2002/0147913 in view of Renaud US 6,021,491 in view of Yee US 5,781,723.

The previous Yun Lip-Renaud combination teaches authentication but does not teach smart cards. Yee teaches a smartcard that performs multiple cryptographic services, (Col 6 lines 11-25).

Art Unit: 2134

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Yun Lip-Renaud combination with the smart card of Yee because the smart card enhances the security of the system by virtue of its cryptographic functions, and allows portability.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J. Brown whose telephone number is (571)272-3833. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2134

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on (571)272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher J. Brown

5/23/05